lame:	Period:	Date:	
watch for. Aft	Theatre Evaluation Wo heet before attending the play so yo ter the play, evaluate the play accor- tlined below. If you need more room tr.	ou will know what to rding to Goethe's Three	te
Title:	Playwright:	h and helicity the best one of	3-2-4
Place:	Director:		
I. How Well Did They Do	IT? (Technique)	at or a successful wife on the successful water and the successful wate	char the
I. How Well DID THEY Do  A. Directing	IT? (Technique)	Two is a second with a second	of or the
<ul><li>A. Directing</li><li>1. Was the play well prepared</li></ul>	ared? Describe.		et of the
<ul><li>A. Directing</li><li>1. Was the play well prepa</li><li>2. Was the production unit</li></ul>	fied, without obvious strong or wea	ık points? Describe	, Live, 1
<ul><li>A. Directing</li><li>1. Was the play well prepa</li><li>2. Was the production unit</li><li>3. Did the production cate</li></ul>	ared? Describe.	ak points? Describe	
<ol> <li>A. Directing</li> <li>Was the play well prepared.</li> <li>Was the production unit</li> <li>Did the production cate</li> <li>Was the play well block</li> </ol>	fied, without obvious strong or wear	lk points? Describe	



200

	weeker Mill Mills of Lin 2008	The second distance his	ware and all
Did he/she show volition? H			3
Technical Elements		'may sma yale m'	a quality part of
Comment about the technical	al elements you especiall	y liked or disliked.	
1. Program:			<del></del>
2. Scenery:			
3. Lighting:			. (5)
4. Sound:		- Cores	mi igits sele
5. Props:		e Espe	рэвтэг Черс п
6. Costuming:			-
7. Make-up:			
Were the technical elements cially strong or weak?	- par life with the particular in a state	did some stand out a	s being espe-
Which ones?			



	4.	Do you agree with the handling of values in the play?
		Why or why not?
	5.	Were parts of the script objectionable to you? What?
	6.	Could those parts be deleted or changed without altering the author's intent?  How?
	7.	Were parts of the script objectionable to others, if not to you? Describe.
	8.	If you were the director, would you change or delete those parts?
IV.		ONCLUSION  you were the director, would you produce this play?
	W	hy or why not?
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	



В.	Acting-External Qualities
1.	Could you hear everyone?
2.	Could you understand their words?
	Did the actors display nervous mannerisms? Describe.
_	and the particular and the second to be a second to
	Acting-Believability (Select one character)
1.	Character: 2. Age:
	Accent:
	Was he/she believable? Why or why not?
_	Acting-Protagonist (Hero)
1	Who was the protagonist?
	Did you care what happened to him/her?
J.	What was his/her—and the play's—objective?
4.	Did he/she show volition? How?
5.	Was he/she willing to fight to restore balance?
_	
	Did he/she grow and develop in understanding, strength,confidence, and/or knowledge?
Ho	ow?
	Acting-Antagonist (Villain)
1.	Who was the antagonist?
2.	What was his/her objective?



# III. WAS THE PLAY WORTH DOING? (Content)

A. Audience
1. Did the audience get caught up in the spirit of the play or were they just politely watching?
2. Were you glad invested your time and money to see this production?
3. How caught up in the play were you?
4. What did you especially like?
5. What did you especially dislike?
B. Script
1. Was the script interesting?
2. Was it well structured?
C. Content
Were characters encouraged to break from traditional values?
Describe?
2 Danna
Do you agree or disagree with the character's choice of what to do?  Why?
3. Was breaking from traditional values shown in a cause and effect manner, or was it glorified?